, i - 🍅	 Case4:11-cv-05	694-YGR	Docu	ment1 Filed11/2	28/11 Page1 of	
•	/10)	CIV	ZIL C	OVER SHEET	· (1	(M)
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the by local rules of court. This form	e information contained here a approved by the Judicial C	in neither replace n onference of the Ur	or supplen	nent the filing and service of p	oleadings or other papers as	quired to law, except as provided court for the purpose of initiating
the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTITE I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	I RUCTIONS ON PAGE IV	I HE FORM	.,)	DEFENDANTS		
	TO A REPORT OF A PART	ED	,		Protection Agency, LISA	D IACKSON
MIDWEST EVIRONMEN	NIAL DEFENSE CENI			Administrator		F. JACKSON,
	of First Listed Plaintiff Da PT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA				N U.S. PLA INTIFF CASES IDEMNATION CASES, USE T	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	ne, Address, and Telephone	Number)		Attorneys (If Known)		
Kristin Henry Sierra Club Environmenta 85 Second St., 2nd Floor	l Law Program	•		Secretary on the	ing Js	SC AD_R
San Francisco, CA 94105	Tel. 415-9	777-5716				TUR
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	іп. сі	TIZENSHIP OF PRI (For Diversity Cases Only) PTF	NCIPAL PARTIES (I	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No	ot a Party)	Citi	zen of This State 1	Incorporated or Principof Business In Th	pal Place 4 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship o	f Parties in Item III)	Citi	zen of Another State 2	2 Incorporated and Princ of Business In An	
				zen or Subject of a 3 Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			т.	CODERTINE (BEN AT THE	DANWOLDTON	OWNED OF A THEE
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL IN		FORFEITURE/PENALTY 1010 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment
120 Marine	310 Airplane	362 Personal Inj		620 Other Food & Drug	423 Withdrawal	410 Antitrust
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpr	actice [625 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Inj		of Property 21 USC 881		450 Commerce 460 Deportation
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Lial 368 Asbestos Pe		630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS	470 Racketeer Influenced and
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Injury Produ		650 Airline Regs.	820 Copyrights	Corrupt Organizations
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability		660 Occupational	830 Patent 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans	340 Marine	PERSONAL PRO	PERTY _	Safety/Health	640 Hadellark	490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud		690 Other		810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Len		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	Exchange
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Person		710 Fair Labor Standards	861 HIA (1395ff)	875 Customer Challenge
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	Property Da 385 Property Da	mage	Act	862 Black Lung (923)	12 USC 3410
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Liab		720 Labor/Mgint. Relations		890 Other Statutory Actions
196 Franchise		PRISONE		730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PETITION		740 Railway Labor Act	1 aug Kai (403(g)) //	* 893 Environmental Matters
210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting	510 Motions to	Vacate [790 Other Labor Litigation	/	894 Energy Allocation Act
	442 Employment	Sentence		791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedom of Information
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus	:	Security Act	1870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act 900Appeal of Fee
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	530 General			or Defendant)	Determination
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penal		IMMIGRATION	871 IRS—Third Party	Under Equal Access
	Employment	550 Civil Rights		462 Naturalization Application	26 USC 7609	to Justice
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -		ition	462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus –		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	Other		<u> </u>	Alien Detainee		State Statutes
	440 Other Civil Rights			465 Other Immigration Actions		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X	" in One Box Only) oved from 3 Rema	inded from	4 Reinsta	Transferred fro		Appeal to District 7 Judge from
		llate Court	Peoper		Litigation	Magistrate

DATE

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-2) (PLACE AND "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

November 28, 2011

	REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus – Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigration Actions	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 1871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" 1 Original 2 Remo Proceeding State 6		nded from 4 Reinst late Court Reope			Appeal to District 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment
1	YI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Clean Air Act 42 I	J.S.C. §§ 7401 et. seq.	filing (Do not cite jurisdictio	onal statutes unless diversity	y):
	VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P. 2	S A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES JURY DEM	Sonly if demanded in complaint: AND: Yes No
7	VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY			NCERNING REQUIREME 1-5651-Magistrate Judge D		

SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND

SIGNATURE OF A TORNEY OF RECORD

EUREKA

SAN JOSE

KRISTIN HENRY (Cal. Bar No. 220908) Sierra Club 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 3 Telephone: (415) 977-5709 Facsimile: (415) 977-5793 Kristin.Henry@sierraclub.org 4 Counsel for Plaintiff Midwest Environmental Defense Center 5 han a filler of 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 569 4 10 11 MIDWEST ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE 12 CENTER, COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY 13 AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Plaintiff, 14 v.) (Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401 et. seg.) 15 LISA P. JACKSON, in her official capacity as Administrator of the 16 United States Environmental Protection Agency, 17 Defendant. 18 19 20 21 22 23

Case4:11-cv-05694-YGR Document1 Filed11/28/11 Page2 of 10

1

INTRODUCTION

2 1. Plaintiff Midwest Environmental Defense Center brings this Clean Air Act citizen suit to compel the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") to undertake overdue 3 mandatory duties. Specifically, Plaintiff challenges the failure of Defendant, Lisa P. Jackson, in 4 5 her official capacity as Administrator of the EPA, to perform certain mandatory duties required 6 by the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401-7671q. These duties include the failure to make a finding of failure to submit under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B) for multiple State Implementation 7 8 Plans ("SIPs") submittal requirements for all fifty states except Mississippi, South Carolina, Tennessee, Kentucky, Florida and Alabama, as well as the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico 9 ("States")¹. 10

11

12

13

14

JURISDICTION

2. This case is a Clean Air Act citizen suit. Therefore, the Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2) (citizen suits for failure to perform a non-discretionary duty required by the Clean Air Act).

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

15

NOTICE

3. On September 28, 2011, Plaintiff Midwest Environmental Defense Center mailed a letter via certified mail, return receipt requested, to Defendant Lisa P. Jackson stating that it intends to sue Defendant for the violations alleged in this Complaint regarding failure to make a finding of failure to submit Infrastructure State Implementation Plans for the 2008 ozone NAAQS. More than sixty days have passed since Plaintiff sent this notice of intent to sue letter. To date,

23

¹ For the purposes of this complaint, none of these allegations should be considered to apply to Guam, the Virgin Islands, American Samoa or the Northern Mariana Islands.

1	Defendant has not remedied the violations alleged in this Complaint. Therefore, an actual
2	controversy exists.
3	
4	VENUE
5	4. Defendant EPA resides in this judicial district. This civil action is brought against an
6	officer of the United States acting in her official capacity and a substantial part of the events or
7	omissions giving rise to the claims in this case occurred in the Northern District of California.
8	The claim in this Complaint concerns EPA's failure to perform mandatory duties with regard to
9	Arizona, California, Hawaii, and Nevada. EPA Region 9, whose jurisdiction includes Arizona,
10	California, Hawaii, and Nevada, is headquartered in San Francisco. Thus several of the events
11	and omissions at issue in this action occurred at EPA's Region 9 headquarters in San Francisco.
12	Therefore, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).
13	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT
14	
15	5. A substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims in this case
16	occurred in the County of San Francisco. Accordingly, assignment to the San Francisco
17	Division or the Oakland Division is proper pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and (d).
18	
	PARTIES
19	6. Plaintiff MIDWEST ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE CENTER is a public interest
20	environmental organization based in Madison, Wisconsin. Midwest Environmental Defense
21	Center's mission is to protect and restore the environment, natural resources, and public health of
22	or and public licatiff of
23	

1	the upper Midwest by ensuring that laws designed to protect the public are applied and enforced			
2	as they were intended.			
3	7. Members and staff of Midwest Environmental Defense Center live, work, recreate, and			
4	travel throughout the States and will continue to do so on a regular basis. Ozone in the affected			
5	States threatens, and will continue to threaten, the health and welfare of Plaintiff's staff and			
6	members. Midwest Environmental Defense Center staff's and members', as well as the public's,			
7	ability to enjoy the aesthetic qualities and recreational opportunities is diminished by ozone in			
8	the respective areas.			
9	8. EPA's failure to timely perform the mandatory duties described herein also adversely			
10	affects Plaintiff, as well as its staff and members, by depriving them of procedural protection and			
11	opportunities, as well as information that they are entitled to under the Clean Air Act. The			
12	failure of EPA to perform the mandatory duties also creates uncertainty for Plaintiff's staff and			
13	members as to whether they are exposed to excess air pollution.			
14	9. The above injuries will continue until the Court grants the relief requested herein.			
15	10. Defendant LISA P. JACKSON is the Administrator of the United States Environmental			
16	Protection Agency. In that role Administrator Jackson has been charged by Congress with the			
17	duty to administer the Clean Air Act, including the mandatory duties at issue in this case.			
18				
19	LEGAL BACKGROUND			
20	11. Congress enacted the Clean Air Act to "speed up, expand, and intensify the war against			
21	air pollution in the United States with a view to assuring that the air we breathe throughout the			
22	Nation is wholesome once again." H.R.Rep. No. 1146, 91st Cong., 2d Sess. 1,1, 1970 U.S.Code			
23	Cong. & Admin. News 5356, 5356. To promote this, the Act requires EPA to set National			

1	Ambient Air Quality Standards establishing maximum allowable concentrations for certain
2	pollutants, including ozone.
3	12. Adverse impacts arise from ground-level ozone ("ozone") pollution, commonly referred
4	to as smog. Ozone represents a serious air quality issue in many parts of the United States.
5	Exposure to ozone pollution causes numerous impacts to a person's respiratory system,
6	including asthma, pneumonia, and bronchitis, and can result in the permanent scarring of lung
7	tissue. Moreover, the detrimental effects extend beyond public health. Ozone pollution also
8	interferes with vegetation's ability to function properly. This interference results in injuries such
9	as decreased crop yields and damage to native ecosystems.
10	13. The Clean Air Act requires each state to submit a state implementation plan for every
11	promulgation or revision of a National Ambient Air Quality Standard, within three years of that
12	standard's promulgation or revision, that provides for the "implementation, maintenance, and
13	enforcement" of the standard. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(1). These are often referred to as
14	"Infrastructure" state implementation plans. An Infrastructure state implementation plan
15	submittal must meet the requirements listed under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2). See 42 U.S.C. §§
16	7410(a)(2)(A)-(M).
17	14. The Clean Air Act requires EPA to determine whether any state implementation plan
18	submittal is administratively complete. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k)(1)(B). EPA must make this
19	determination by "no later than 6 months after the date, if any, by which a State is required to
20	submit the plan or revision." <i>Id</i> .
21	15. If a state fails to submit any required state implementation plan, there is no submittal that
22	may be deemed administratively complete, and EPA must make a determination stating that the
23	

1	state failed to submit the required state implementation plan. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B). This is
2	referred to as a "finding of failure to submit."
3	
4	
5	CLAIM FOR RELIEF
6 7	CLAIM ONE (EPA's Failure to find that States have not Submitted 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards State Implementation Plans)
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	16. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 15. 17. Pursuant to the Clean Air Act, each state must submit an "Infrastructure" state implementation plan that provides for the "implementation, maintenance, and enforcement" of a National Ambient Air Quality Standard within three years of a standard's promulgation or revision. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(1). 18. The Clean Air Act requires EPA to determine whether a state implementation plan submittal is administratively complete. See 42 U.S.C. 7410(k)(1)(B). 19. If a state fails to submit any required state implementation plan, there is no submittal that may be deemed administratively complete and EPA must make a determination stating that the state failed to submit the required state implementation plan. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B). This is referred to as a "finding of failure to submit." 20. Thus, if a state does not submit a state implementation plan, EPA must make a finding of failure to submit no later than six months after the date by which the state implementation plan submittal was due. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B).
23	

1	21. On March 12, 2008, the EPA promulgated revised National Ambient Air Quality
2	Standards for ozone. See 73 Fed. Reg. 16436-16514 (March 27, 2008). EPA set a new standard
3	limiting ozone concentrations to no more than 0.075 parts per million over an 8-hour period. See
4	40 C.F.R. § 50.15.
5	22. In accordance with Section 110(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act, States are required to submit
6	SIPs to attain and maintain the National Ambient Air Quality Standards within three years of the
7	promulgation or revision of a National Ambient Air Quality Standard. See 42 U.S.C. §
8	7410(a)(1). In assuring that SIPs attain and maintain the National Ambient Air Quality
9	Standards in accordance with Section 110(a)(1), States must ensure their SIPs include
10	requirements set forth under Section 110(a)(2). See 74 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2). These
11	requirements, include, but are not limited to:
12	• Enforceable emission limits (42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A));
13	• Establishment and operation of monitoring systems (42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(B));
14	• Enforcement programs (42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(C));
15	• Limits on interstate transport (42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D));
16	• Assurances of adequate authority (42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(E));
17	• Monitoring of emissions from stationary sources (42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(F));
18	• Authority to exercise emergency powers (42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(G));
19	• Provisions that provide for the revision of SIPs (42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(H));
20	Meet consultation, public notification, and Prevention of Significant Deterioration
21	("PSD") requirements (42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(J));
22	• Provide for the modeling of air quality (42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(K));
23	• Establish permitting fees (42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(L));

	7 1/2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
1	• Ensure consultation and participation by local entities (42 U.S.C. §7410(a)(2)(M)).
2	These requirements are collectively referred to as the "general infrastructure elements." See U.S.
3	EPA, State Implementation Plan Overview,
4	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/overview.html (last viewed Oct. 24, 2011).
5	23. The revised National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone were effective on May
6	27, 2008. See 73 Fed. Reg. 16436 (March 27, 2008). States must submit SIPs for the 2008 ozone
7	National Ambient Air Quality Standards by no later than March 12, 2011. See 73 Fed. Reg.
8	16436, 16503 (March 27, 2008).
9	24. None of the States except Mississippi, South Carolina, Tennessee, Kentucky, Florida and
10	Alabama have submitted these Infrastructure SIPs for the 2008 ozone National Ambient Air
11	Quality Standards.
12	25. The Administrator is required to make a finding as to whether a State has submitted the
13	required SIP no later than six months after the date by which the State was required to submit
14	such a SIP. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B). EPA must make findings of failure to submit SIPs
15	for the 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards by no later than September 12,
16	2011.
17	26. EPA has not made findings that any States have failed to submit Infrastructure SIPs for
18	the 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
19	27. Thus, EPA is in violation of its mandatory duty with regard to all the states except
20	Mississippi, South Carolina, Tennessee, Kentucky, Florida, and Alabama.
21	
22	
23	

1		REQUEST FOR RELIEF
2		WHEREFORE, Midwest Environmental Defense Center respectfully requests that the
3	Court:	
4	A.	Declare that the Administrator is in violation of the Clean Air Act with regard to her
5		failure to perform each mandatory duty listed above;
6	В.	Issue a mandatory injunction requiring the Administrator to perform her mandatory
7		duties by certain dates;
8	C.	Retain jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of enforcing and effectuating the Court's
9		order;
10	D.	Grant Midwest Environmental Defense Center its reasonable costs of litigation, including
11		attorneys' and experts' fees; and
12	E.	Grant such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
13		Respectfully submitted,
14		
15		2/11/0
16		- Bustin A. Henry
17		Kristin Henry (Cal. Bar No. 220908) Sierra Club
18		85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105
19		Telephone: (415) 977-5709 Facsimile: (415) 977-5793
20		Kristin.Henry@sierraclub.org Counsel for Plaintiff Midwest
21		Environmental Defense Center
22		
23	Dated:	November 28, 2011